PROGRAM INFORMATION

Date submitted: June 1st, 2013

| Degree Program(s): | Master of Library and Information Science (MLIS) | Department: | School of Library and Information Science |
|----------------------|--|-------------|---|
| Department Chair: | Dr. Sandy Hirsh | Phone: | 4-2491 |
| Report Prepared by: | Linda Main | Phone: | 4-2494 |
| Next Self-Study due: | Fall 2014 | E-mail: | Linda.Main@sjsu.edu |

ARCHIVAL INFORMATION

| | oodion. | https://slisweb.sjsu.edu/about- | Person to | Linda | Linda Main @aigu adu | l |
|---|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|----------------------|---|
| L | ocation: | slis/mlisprogram-performance | Contact: | Main | Linda.Main@sjsu.edu | |

Resetting Baseline Data

At the end of the Fall 2007 semester we collected data on the number of revisions needed to satisfactorily demonstrate achievement of a defined subset of 5 of the MLIS PLOs or Core Competencies presented in students' culminating electronic portfolios (Libr 289). Our goal was to have 90% or better of LIBR 289 students who need no or only 1 revision to a Statement of Competency, the essay in which they demonstrate achievement of a specific PLO. If less than 90% of students submit work at that level, then that identifies for the faculty a need for curricular review of the courses that address that PLO.

Since 2007 we have measured a subset of 5 core competencies (program learning outcomes); made changes; and re-measured. We are now planning to reset our base line data as many changes have occurred between 2007 and 2012. We have worked very hard on improving rubrics for 289 students; we have reworded and revised the program learning outcomes; we have tied the PLOs much more closely to classes; we have incorporated input from program advisory committees and our international advisory council. We are reworking our core classes so that all program learning outcomes can be met via the core classes.

We will group our fifteen core competencies into 3 subsets and gather data, discuss and make changes, and re-measure on the following time table:

C=Collect data D=Discuss data among faculty I=implement program changes based on data

| PLOs | Sp 2013 | Fa 2013 | Sp 2014 | Fa 2014 | Sp 2015 | Fa 2015 | Sp 2016 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| DEFGH | С | DI | С | DI | С | | |
| HIJKL | | С | DI | С | DI | С | |
| MNABC | | | С | DI | С | DI | С |

Our goal is still to have 90% or better of LIBR 289 students who need no or only 1 revision to a Statement of Competency, the essay in which they demonstrate achievement of a specific PLO.

Spring 2013 Data Collection

| PLO F C To submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations and submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G | PLO | С | 68% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. |
|--|------|---|--|
| PLO C 75% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO C 60% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 8% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0 of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 23% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 23% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 19% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revi | D | | 20% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations |
| PLO E C 60% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 32% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 8% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0 of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 23% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 26% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 19% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions ne | | | 12% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations |
| B 32% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 8% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0 of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 23% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO G 70% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 19% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations | | | |
| B 32% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 8% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0 of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 23% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO G 70% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 19% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations | | | |
| PLO C 70% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0 of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 23% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or excee | PLO | С | 60% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. |
| PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 23% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. PLO C 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations | E | | 32% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations |
| PLO C 75% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 23% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisio | | | 8% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations |
| PLO G 81% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations | | | |
| PLO G 81% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 2% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations | DI O | | 75% of submissions peeded zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations |
| PLO G PLO G R R R R R R R R R R R R R | _ | | · |
| PLO G 70% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO H 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations | | | · |
| PLO G 70% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO H 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | | | |
| G 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO H 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | | | |
| G 26% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO H 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | PLO | С | 70% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. |
| 4% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed expectations PLO H 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | G | | · |
| PLO H 81% of submissions needed zero revisions to meet or exceed expectations. 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | | | · |
| H 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | | | |
| H 19% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | | | |
| 0% of submissions needed one revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | _ | С | · |
| 0% of submissions needed three or four revisions to meet or exceed | П | | · |
| | | | 0% of submissions needed two revisions to meet or exceed expectations |
| | | | |

We are discussing this data over summer 2013 and in Fall will report on changes we made in Fall classes. We will then re-measure this subset of program learning outcomes using the Spring 2014 e-portfolio results.